This systematic review analyzed data from studies examining memory and cognitive function in subjects with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), compared with subjects exposed to trauma (but without PTSD). Based on analysis of 21 articles published in English from 1968 to 2009, the conclusion is that individuals with PTSD, particularly veterans, show signs of cognitive impairment when tested with neuropsychological instruments, more so than individuals exposed to trauma who do not have PTSD.

(The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences 2011; 23:16–28)

Does PTSD Impair Cognition Beyond the Effect of Trauma?

Salah U. Qureshi, M.D. Mary E. Long, Ph.D. Major R. Bradshaw, Ph.D. Jeffrey M. Pyne, M.D. Kathy M. Magruder, M.P.H., Ph.D. Timothy Kimbrell, M.D. Teresa J. Hudson, Pharm.D. Ali Jawaid, M.B.B.S. Paul E. Schulz, M.D. Mark E. Kunik, M.D., M.P.H.

E pidemiological studies estimate that exposure to a traumatic event affects 50% to 70% of the general population.^{1–3} Among victims of traumatic events, posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a common but serious mental health consequence. Epidemiological estimates of PTSD in the general population indicate high current (6% to 14%)^{1,4,5} and lifetime⁶ prevalence. PTSD is also associated with high rates of comorbid psychiatric disorders, including depression, substance abuse, psychosis, and anxiety disorders,^{7–9} even after control-

Received September 3, 2009; revised December 17, 2009, and February 10, 2010; accepted March 4, 2010. Drs. Qureshi, Long, and Kunik are affiliated with the Houston Center for Quality of Care & Utilization Studies, Health Services Research and Development Service, Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs (VA) Medical Center, in Houston, TX; Drs. Qureshi and Schulz are affiliated with the Neurosensory Center at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston and with the Neurology Care Line at Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center in Houston; Drs. Qureshi, Long, Kimbrell, and Kunik are affiliated with VA South Central Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center; Drs. Bradshaw, Jawaid, and Schulz are affiliated with the Department of Neurology at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston; Drs. Pyne, Kimbrell, and Hudson are affiliated with the Center for Mental Health and Outcomes Research, Central Arkansas Veterans Healthcare System, and the Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences; Dr. Magruder is affiliated with the Ralph H. Johnson VA Medical Center; Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC; Dr. Kunik is also affiliated with the Menninger Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences and with the Department of Medicine at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston. Address correspondence to Mark E. Kunik, M.D., M.P.H., Houston Center for Quality of Care & Utilization Studies, Michael E DeBakey VAMC (152), 2002 Holcombe, Houston, TX 77030. e-mail: mkunik@bcm.tmc.edu

Copyright © 2011 American Psychiatric Association

ling for the symptoms these disorders share with PTSD.¹⁰ Also, PTSD is often a chronic condition, with patients suffering symptoms several years after initial exposure to their index trauma.¹¹ Although a growing literature examines the effects of chronic PTSD on memory and other cognitive domains, studies of the association of PTSD and dementia are lacking. This is surprising, as PTSD, for which the memory of etiologic trauma(s) plays such a significant role in the form of intrusive thoughts, nightmares and flashbacks, has been conceptualized as a disorder of memory.¹²

PTSD and dementia share several proposed risk factors and neuroanatomical correlates. It has been reported that lower intelligence,^{13–17} lower educational level,^{18,19} smaller hippocampal volume,^{20–23} and dysfunction of frontal and parietal cortical regions^{24–27} are associated with PTSD and dementing illnesses.

Neuroanatomical changes and cognitive impairments are also reported to be present in subjects with a history of physical or psychological trauma.^{28–30} Mild traumatic brain injury has been linked to oxidative stress and Alzheimer's disease.³¹ Others have reported high rates of mood and anxiety disorders, with cognitive impairment associated with trauma.^{32,33} It is still unclear how much of a role trauma and mood play in cognitive impairment associated with PTSD.

In this systematic review, we analyze data from studies that examined memory and cognitive function in subjects with PTSD and in control groups exposed to psychological and/or physical trauma but without PTSD. Our purpose was to identify the pattern of cognitive impairment and to examine which cognitive domains are consistently associated with PTSD when compared with trauma exposure. This will help to inform the design of future research to address cognitive impairments in this group of patients.

METHOD

We searched MEDLINE by combining the following MESH headings: stress disorder, posttraumatic, memory, dementia, cognition, and neuropsychological. The search was restricted to human adults and articles printed in English from 1968 to 2009.

We performed the initial searches, reviewed the abstracts, and excluded those that did not specifically focus on cognitive impairment. We then reviewed the full articles for the remainder and excluded those that 1) did not have a control group; 2) included only patients with acute PTSD, traumatic brain injury, schizophrenia, cerebral vascular accidents, serious medical disorders, or chemical exposure; 3) included only children and adolescents; 4) examined only memories related to trauma or traumarelated events; and 5) examined only cognitive changes after treatment of PTSD. The resulting articles were further reviewed, and, from these, we excluded review articles and those that used duplicative study samples and tested similar cognitive domains, used nonvalidated neuropsychological testing, used a single neuropsychological test beyond memory testing, and/or used only computerized testing. Finally, we excluded studies that did not have a control group exposed to trauma.

The remaining articles were independently assessed by two reviewers (SQ and ML), using the epidemiological quality tool adapted from the Jadad quality measure.³⁴ The tool provides a maximum score of 7; 1 point each for use of a nationally representative sample, use of a valid PTSD diagnostic tool, comparison with other psychiatric illnesses or substance abuse, accounting for the impact of depression or substance abuse, assessment of the severity of PTSD, controlling for the effects of learning-impairment on memory, and provision of established validity and reliability of all neuropsychological tests.

Data Extraction

As a first step, all neuropsychological tests in the selected articles were categorized by the mutual consensus of a neuropsychologist (MB) and a psychiatrist (SQ) as assessing some or all of five cognitive domains: attention, learning, memory, executive function, and visuospatial function (Table 1). IQ tests and those that did not fit easily into any of these domains, such as the WAIS and Spot the Word, were excluded. Some tests, like the Benton Visual Retention Test and the California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT), were assigned to more than one cognitive domain. For example, in the case of learning and memory tests like the CVLT and Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT), Learning trials 1-5 were used only for learning, and Delayed Recall for memory. If only one overall result was given for these tests, then it was considered for memory unless otherwise specified.

For all articles, a test result was considered to be positive only if it showed statistically significant (p<0.05) impairment in the PTSD group when it was compared with a control group with psychological and/or physical trauma. It includes subjects with history of military de-

ployment, imprisonment, combat exposure, rape, violence, child abuse, refugee status, and natural disaster; it also includes Holocaust survivors. Effect size, which is a measure of the strength of a relationship between two variables, was calculated as Cohen's d and/or r for all the significant associations wherever possible. A Cohen's d of less than 0.2 was considered a negligible effect size, from 0.2 to 0.4 as small, from 0.5 to 0.7 as medium, and 0.8 and above as strong. Data were not included when comparisons were made with a control group without trauma. Whenever available, results were included after controlling for confounding factors like depression, substance abuse, and learning-impairment, as they can affect cognition, particularly, memory. Approximate time since trauma was not mentioned in most studies but was estimated by the authors by incorporating historical events describing the sample.

RESULTS

Initially, the search resulted in 1,230 articles. Review of the abstracts and exclusion of studies not specifically focusing

Test	Attention	Learning	Memory	Executive Function	Visuospatia
Attentional Network Task	1			1	
Auditory Consonant Trigrams	\checkmark				
Auditory Verbal Learning Test		\checkmark	\checkmark		
Benton Facial Recognition Test					\checkmark
Benton Visual Retention Test			\checkmark		\checkmark
California Verbal Learning Test		\checkmark	\checkmark		
Color Trail-Making Test				1	
Comprehensive Trail-Making Test				1	
Continuous Performance Test	\checkmark			1	
Continuous Visual Memory Test		\checkmark	\checkmark		
Controlled Oral Word Association				1	
Digit Vigilance	\checkmark				
Figure Classification				1	1
Hayling Sentence Completion Test					
Judgment of Line Orientation					1
Letter Cancellation	\checkmark				1
Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test	1			1	•
Posner Visual Selective Attention Task	1			·	
Rey Osterrieth Complex Figure Test	•		Ja	1	1
Rivermead Behavioral Memory Test			1	·	•
Short Category Test			•	1	
Stroop Test					
Sustained Attention to Response Task	./			v	
Symbol Digit Modality Test	v			1	
Thurstone Memory Test			\checkmark	v	
Tower Of London			v	1	
Trail Making Test A & B				·	
Visual Object and Spatial Perception				v	/
				1	v
Verbal Fluency Test			1	v	
Warrington Recognition Memory Test			v		
Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale					
Block Design	/				✓
Digit Span					
Digit Forward	V				
Digit Backward	\checkmark			,	
Digit Symbol	,				
Letter Number Sequence					,
Picture Arrangement					<i>√</i>
Picture Completion	\checkmark				\checkmark
Wechsler Memory Scale III			<i>√</i>		
Figural Memory			✓		
Logical Memory Subtest			\checkmark		
Spatial Span	\checkmark				
Verbal Paired Associates		\checkmark	✓		
Visual Memory Subsets			\checkmark		
Wisconsin Card Sorting Test				1	

on cognitive impairment left a sample of 108 articles. Examination of this group of studies and elimination of those that did not meet our inclusion criteria (see "Methods") resulted in 48 remaining articles, from which we then eliminated all but 21 articles, based on our exclusion criteria. See Table 2 for a brief description of each study and the cognitive domains examined.

Studies of Veterans

Studies of veterans are most common (n=8). Age ranges from 30 to 81 across these studies, and approximate time since trauma ranges from 7 to 65 years.

Barrett et al.³⁵ examined cognitive impairment in one of the largest samples of veterans from the Vietnam Experience Study. Vietnam veterans with only PTSD (N=236) were compared with those with PTSD and other psychiatric disorders (N=128), with other psychiatric disorders without PTSD (N=242) and without PTSD or other psychiatric disorders (N=1,835). Several neuropsychological tests were used, but none was significantly associated with PTSD after adjusting for demographic and military covariates including combat exposure. The authors concluded that cognitive deficits in PTSD are most likely secondary to comorbid mental health disorders.

Vasterling et al.³⁶ examined memory and attention in veterans who were mobilized for Operation Desert Storm. They compared veterans with PTSD (N=19) to psychopathology-free veterans (N=24), using a large battery of neuropsychological tests. Their findings include problems in sustained attention on the Continuous Performance Test commissions (p=0.023; d=-0.64, r=-0.30) and learning on AVLT trials (p=0.025; d=-0.84, r=-0.39) and Continuous Visual Memory Test learning phase (p=0.004; d=-0.90, r=-0.41) in veterans with PTSD. A medium-to-strong effect size was observed for these significant associations. These cognitive impairments were found to be associated with severity of PTSD symptoms, especially reexperiencing and avoidance. Memory impairment was also present on delayed recall but was not significant after controlling for initial learning.

Vasterling et al.³⁷ did a similar study of Vietnam veterans who served in war zones, with similar results. Veterans with PTSD (N=26) were compared with veterans without any mental disorders (N=21). Impairment in attention was noted in veterans with PTSD on Digit Span (DS) (p=0.03; d=-0.05, r=-0.03) and Continuous Performance Test hits (p=0.04; d=-0.68,

r=-0.32). Although total learning was not impaired on the Continuous Visual Memory Test (p=0.88), total recalls on Trials 1–5 were significantly impaired on the AVLT (p<0.01; d=-0.70, r=-0.33) in these individuals. Impairment in both cognitive domains had a good effect size. Substance abuse and PTSD symptom severity were also taken into account in this study. The authors concluded that cognitive impairment is associated with PTSD, independent of intellectual functioning.

Yehuda et al.³⁸ studied learning and memory in aging combat veterans who were age 65 or older. Although the PTSD-positive group (N=30) was significantly different from the nonexposed group (without trauma; N=15) in memory and learning, when compared with the PTSD-negative group (with trauma) (N=20) this difference was not significant. However, in an analysis of a subsample population after excluding those with a history of substance abuse, they did find significant differences in the PTSD-positive (N=19) and negative (N=13) groups in learning (p=0.03; d=-0.52, r=-0.25) and delayed recall (p=0.01; d=-0.85, r=-0.39) on the CVLT, with medium-to-strong effect sizes.

Koso et al.³⁹ investigated memory and executive function in Bosnian combat veterans. The group found significant differences in PTSD (N=20) and non-PTSD (N=20) groups on several neuropsychological measures. They concluded that veterans with PTSD showed impairment in attention on the Sustained Attention to Response Task (p<0.001; d=1.34, r=0.56), memory on the Rivermead Behavioral Memory Test (p<0.001; d=-2.44, r=-0.77) and executive function on the Trail-Making Test A (p<0.001; d=1.51, r=0.60) and Hayling Sentence Completion Test (p<0.001; d=1.41, r=0.58), with strong effect size.

Gilbertson et al.¹⁵ compared combat-exposed Vietnam-era veterans with (N=19) and without PTSD (N=24) and their monozygotic twins. The authors assessed neurocognitive functioning and found that the verbal memory measure demonstrated a significant diagnosis main effect (Cohen's d=0.77). Combat veterans with PTSD scored significantly lower in verbal memory (p=0.03) when compared with veterans without PTSD. Learning also demonstrated a significant diagnosis main effect (t=2.13; p=0.04). They also found significant diagnosis main effects on measures of attention (Cohen's d=1.11) and executive function (Cohen's d=0.89), but not in visual memory and visuospatial abilities. Combat veterans with PTSD scored lower in attention measures (p=0.006) and higher in executive dys-

Study Studies of Veterans Vietnam veter Barrett et al. ³⁵ Vietnam veter Barrett et al. ³⁵ Vietnam veter Vietnam veter Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁶ Veterans from Vasterling et al. ³⁶ Veterans from Vasterling et al. ³⁷ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁸ Veterans from Vasterling et al. ³⁷ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁷ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁸ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁷ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁸ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁸ Vietnam veter Vasterling et al. ³⁸ Vietnam veter				Cognitiv	Cognitive Domain(s) Assessed	Assessed		A seaciation with	
	Sample (n)	Age (Effect Size)	Attention	Learning	Memory	Executive Function	Visuospatial	PTSD Severity (Duration)	Quality Score
⁹ . ² .	Vietnam veterans with PTSD only (n=236). Control Group: Vietnam veterans with PTSD and other psychiatric diagnosis (n=128), veterans with other psychiatric diagnosis and without PTSD (n=242) and veterans without PTSD or other current	30-40 (NA)			-CVLT, ROCFT	-WCST, ROCFT	–ROCFT, BD	NA (>14 years)	Ŋ
	psychiatric diagnosis (n=1,835). Veterans from Operation Desert Storm with PTSD (n=19). <i>Control Group:</i> Veterans, without any psychopathology	35–36 (Medium- to-strong)	+CPT – DS, LC	+AVLT, CVMT	*-AVLT, CVMT	–wCST, Stroop	-LC	Y (7 years)	Ю
	(n=24). Vietnam veterans with PTSD (n=26). Vietnam veterans without, any	50–51 (Medium)	+DS, CPT-LC	+AVLT -CVMT	CVMT	–WCST, Stroop	-LC	Y/N (>25 years)	4
Control Group: Aging combat substance al	psychopathology ($n=21$). Aging combat veterans with PTSD and Mihout substance abuse ($n=19$). <i>Control Group:</i> Aging combat veterans without PTSD and substance abuse ($n=13$) and veterans	65–67 (Medium- to-strong)		+CVLT	+CVLT		-BD	NA (20–60 years)	4
Unexposed t Koso et al. ³⁹ Bosnian male PTSD (n=20, (n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=20, n=10, n=	unexposed to combat $(n=7)$. Bosnian male veterans with combat-related PTSD $(n=20)$. Control Group: Bosnian male veterans without PTSD	47 (Strong)	+SART		+RBMT	+TMT A & B, HSCT		NA (7 years)	р
Gilbertson et al. ¹⁵ PTSD group co monozygout co was a vetera pairs). Control Group: Non-PTSD gro monozygotic was a vetera	(n=20). PTSD group consisting of male monozygotic twin pairs of which one was a veteran and had PTSD (n=19 pairs). Control Group: Non-PTSD group consisting of male monozygotic twin pairs of which one was a veteran without PTSD (n=24	52 (Medium- to-strong)	+DS	+CVLT	*+CVLT - CVMT	+WCST	– BFRT, VOSP	Y/N (>25yrs)	Q
Paurs). Samuelson et al. ⁴⁰ Veterans vor depe Control Gr Veteran or depe alcoholt without	pairs). Veterans with PTSD and no alcohol abuse or dependence ($n=37$). <i>Control Group:</i> Veterans with PTSD and alcohol abuse or dependence ($n=30$), veterans with alcohol abuse or dependence and without PTSD ($n=30$) and veterans with no alcohol abuse or dependence and no	43–48 (Medium- to-strong)	+DS, LNS, -SS	+CVLT	-CVLT, LM	YG+	- BD	NA (CND)	4
Hart et al. ⁴¹ POWs with PTS POWs with PTS POWs with PTS comrobidities PTSD and psy (n=11).	P1SU ($n=31$). POWs with PTSD only ($n=7$). Control Group: POWs with PTSD and psychiatric comorbidities ($n=7$), POWs without PTSD and psychiatric comorbidities ($n=11$).	79–81 (Strong)	-DS	-AVLT	–AVLT, LMS, WRMT	+ SDMT, TMTB - BNT, COWA, TMTA	-JLOT	NA (55–65 years)	4

	ounnies of orothes and results of real policies (contributed)	UNBICAL LESIS (outurneed)						
				Cogniti	Cognitive Domain(s) Assessed) Assessed		Association with	
Study	Sample (n)	Age (Effect Size)	Attention	Learning	Memory	Executive Function	Visuospatial	PTSD Severity (Duration)	Quality Score
Studies of (Sexually Jenkins et al. ⁴⁴	Studies of (Sexually/Physically) Abused Individuals Jenkins et al. ⁴⁴ Rape victims with PTSD (n=15). <i>Control Group:</i> Rape victims without PTSD (n=16) and non-traumatized comparison subjects (n=16)	28 (Weak)		+CVLT	+CVLT			NA (6 years)	σ
Jenkins et al. ⁴⁵	Rape victims with PTSD (n=15). Control Group: Rape victims without PTSD (n=16) and non-traumatized comparison subjects	27–28 (Strong)	+CPT, PASAT, DS- PVSAT			+TMTB, DY, PASAT -TMTA		NA (Approximately 8 years)	7
Stein et al. ⁴²	Female victims of intimate partner violence with PTSD ($n=17$). Control Group: Female victims of intimate partner violence without PTSD ($n=22$) and non- victimized communications $(n-22)$	29-34 (NA)	-DS, ACT, DV, PASAT	-CVLT, VPA	-CVLT, LM, VPA, CVMT	-TMT, COWA, Stroop, PASAT, ROCFT	-ROCFT	N (4 weeks to 2 years)	4
Bremner et al ⁴⁶	Premenopausal abused women with PTSD ($n=18$). Control Group: Premenopausal women with abuse and without PTSD ($n=10$).	32–34 (Medium)			WMS+ (LM) -(FM)			Y (7 years)	4
Pederson et al. ⁴³ Right-handed abu (n=17). Control Group: Right-handed abu PTSD (n=17) a or PTSD (n=17) a	Right-handed abused women with PTSD ($n=17$). Control Group: Right-handed abused women without PTSD ($n=17$) and women without abuse or PTSD ($n=17$).	24-27 (NA)			-WMS			N (CND)	4
Kivling-Bodén et al. ⁴⁷	and why victures the Adult refugees the with PTSD (n=21). with PTSD (n=21). Adult refuses without PTSD (n=13) Adult refuses without PTSD (n=13)	38–39 (Strong)	+PA -PC		-BVRT, ThMT	+FC	+BD, FC, PA-PC, BVRT	Y (4 to 6 years)	ŝ
Yehuda et al. ⁴⁸	Holocaust survivors with PTSD (n = 36). Control Group: Control Group: Holocaust survivors with PTSD (n = 36). Holocaust survivors without PTSD (n = 26) and Tawish commarison subjects (n = 40)	68–70 (Medium)		+CVLT	CVLT			NA (Approximately 60 yrs)	4
Johnsen et al. ⁴⁹ Immig (m.i Contro Immig Ethnise of Lindererritueter	Immigrants/refugees with chronic PTSD ($(n=21)$). Control Group: Immigrants/refugees with similar age and ethnic profile $(n=21)$.	37–39 (Strong)	+DB-DF, PASAT	O-CULT	*- CVLT	PASAT		Y (Approximately 9 years)	Ŋ
Twamley et al. ⁵⁰	Undergraduate students with trauma Undergraduate students with trauma exposure and PTSD (n=38). Control Group: Undergraduate students with trauma exposure and without PTSD (n=105) and without frauma (n=87)	19 (NA)	-DS, DV, LNS			-TMT, WCST, COWA		N (CND)	n
Leskin et al. ⁵¹	Undergraduate volumeers with history of trauma and PTSD (n=19). Control Group: Undergraduate volunteers with high history of trauma and no PTSD (n=15) and with low history of trauma and no PTSD (n=18).	20-22 (CND)	-ANT			+ ANT - TMT, CTMT		Y (CND)	4

				Cogniti	Cognitive Domain(s) Assessed	Assessed			
Study	Sample (n)	Age (Effect Size)	Attention	Learning	Memory	Executive Function	Visuospatial	Association with PTSD Severity (Duration)	Quality Score
Studies of Surviv Parslow et al. ⁵²	Studies of Survivors of Natural Disaster Parslow et al. ³² Subjects who developed PTSD after a bush fire in Australia (n=38). <i>Control Group:</i>	27 (Weak)	+DB		+CVLT	+SDMT		Y (9 months)	n
Eren-Koçak et al. ⁵³	Subjects with subthreshold P1SD (n=606) and no PTSD (n=955) after the bush fire. Survivors of an earthquake in Turkey with current (n=11) and past PTSD (n=14) without depression. <i>Control Group:</i> Survivors of earthquake without PTSD or	33–42 (Strong)		-AVLT	-AVLT, ROCFT	+CoTMT, VF -ROCFT, SCT, Stroop	– ROCFT	NA (4 years)	р
Other Studies Lindauer et al. ⁵⁴	depression $(n=18)$. Police officers with PTSD $(n=12)$. Control Group: Police officers with trauma but no PTSD $(n=12)$.	35-37 (NA)		-CVLT	– WMS (VM), CVLT	– Stroop		Y (1.7 years)	б
-: cognitive impairment of PTSD symptoms; N: cog #: controlled for learning. Strong effect size: Coher ACT: Auditory Consona Benton Visual Retention	t not present at $p>0.05$; +: putive impairment not asso n's d 0.8 and above; Mediu nt Trigrams; ANT: Attentia Test, COWA: Controlled (impairment pree a severity of PTS ze: Cohen's <i>d</i> 0.5 ork Task; AVLT: I Association; C I Association; C	sent at p<0.0 D symptoms 5 to 0.7; Wea Auditory V€ Auditory V€	5; CND: cou ; NA: not apj k effect size: rrbal Learnin : Trail-Maki	ld not be det plicable or av Cohen's <i>d</i> 0. g Test; BD: B ng Test; CP7	ermined; Y: c ailable; O : re: 2 to 0.4. flock Design; :: Continuou	ognitive impair sults changed at BFRT: Benton F s Performance	cognitive impairment present at p<0.05; CND: could not be determined; Y: cognitive impairment associated with severity ciated with severity are presented with severity of PTSD symptoms; NA: not applicable or available; O : results changed after controlling for depression; m effect size: Cohen's <i>d</i> 0.5; to 0.7; Weak effect size: Cohen's <i>d</i> 0.2 to 0.4. The second of the second results and Network Task; AVLT: Auditory Verbal Learning Test; BD: Block Design; BFRT: Benton Facial Recognition Test; BVRT: Drail Word Association; CTMT: Conprehensive Test; CTMT: Comprehensive Test; CTMT: CTMT: CTMT = CT	a severity ppression; st; BVRT: rehensive

Trail-Making Test; CVLT: California Verbal Learning Test; CVMT: California Verbal Learning Test; DB: Digit Backward; DF: Digit Forward; DS: Digit Span, DY: Digit Symbol; DV: Digit Vigilance; FM: Figural Memory; HSCT: Hayling Sentence Completion Test; LC: Letter Cancellation; LM: Logical Memory Subtest; LNS: Letter Number Sequence; PASAT: Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test; PTSD: posttraumatic stress disorder; PVSAT: Posner Visual Selective Attention Task; RBMT: Rivermead Behavioral Memory Test; ROCFT: Rey Osterrieth Complex Figure Test; SART: Sustained Attention to Response Task; SDMT: Symbol Digit Modalities Test; TMT: Trail-Making Test (A and B); VOSP: Visual Object and Spatial Perception; VPA: Verbal Paired Associates; WCST: Wisconsin Card-Sorting Test; WMS: Wechsler Memory Scale III.

PTSD AND COGNITION BEYOND THE EFFECT OF TRAUMA?

function (p=0.009) than those without PTSD. Interestingly, veterans with PTSD did not differ significantly from their unexposed twin without PTSD on measures of verbal memory, attention, and executive function. Except for perseverative errors, none of the neurocognitive differences between PTSD and non-PTSD groups were clinically relevant. The authors suggest that cognitive deficits in PTSD are familial in nature and serve as a risk factor for PTSD in the aftermath of trauma.

Samuelson et al.⁴⁰ studied cognitive functions in veterans with PTSD and alcohol abuse. Subjects were divided into four groups, based on their PTSD and alcohol status, and their neuropsychological test scores were compared. There were 30 veterans in PTSD+/ ETOH+ group, 37 veterans in PTSD+/ETOH- group, 30 veterans in PTSD-/ETOH+ group and 31 veterans without PTSD or alcohol abuse or dependence. All groups had been exposed to a similar level of trauma. On measures of attention, there was a significant main effect of PTSD on DS (p<0.0001; d=-0.97, r=-0.43) and Letter Number Sequencing (p=0.01; d=-0.85, r=-0.39) but not on Spatial Span (p=0.57). Similarly there was a significant main effect of PTSD on CVLT trials 1–5 (p=0.006; d=-0.59, r=-0.29) but not on delayed recall (p=0.40) or Logical Memory I and II (p>0.2). Among other measures, Digit Symbol had a main effect of PTSD (p=0.001; d=-0.76, r=-0.35) but not Block Design (p=0.6). All significant associations had medium-to-strong effect sizes. The authors concluded that verbal memory and attention were impaired in PTSD.

Hart et al.⁴¹ tested World War II and Korean War veterans who were also prisoners of war (POWs). They compared POWs with PTSD-only (N=7) versus POWs with PTSD and other psychiatric comorbidities (N=7) and POWs without PTSD or psychiatric comorbidities (N=11). They found executive dysfunction with strong effect sizes on Symbol Digit (p<0.05; d=-1.83, r=-0.67) and Trails B (p<0.05; d=1.08, r=0.47) in subjects with PTSD only when compared with POWs without PTSD or other psychiatric comorbidities. They suggest that cognitive impairments in PTSD may be related to comorbid conditions and higher IQ may protect against developing PTSD.

Results of studies examining cognitive differences between veterans with and without PTSD have been mostly positive, with medium-to-strong effect sizes. Only two studies did not find any significant cognitive impairment with PTSD after controlling for confounding factors such as learning. The rest of the studies were positive, especially for attentional measures (Table 3). One reason might be the longer duration of PTSD in these studies.

Studies of Abused Individuals

The second largest group of studies (five) focuses on sexually or physically abused individuals, mostly women. Their average/mean age range is between 24 and 34 years, with approximate time since trauma ranging from a few weeks to 8 years.

Stein et al. compared neuropsychological functioning in female victims of intimate-partner violence, with (N=17) or without PTSD (N=22).⁴² The subjects underwent several neuropsychological tests, but results failed to show any significant differences between trauma victims with or without PTSD. The authors reported that cognitive deficits in these subjects were subtle, regardless of PTSD status.

Pederson et al.⁴³ correlated memory performance and

TABLE 3. Summary of Positive and Negative Findings for Association of Cognitive Domains, by Type of Sample Subject

Cognitive Impairment	Sample	Positive	Negative
Attention	Veterans	5	1
	Abused individuals	1	1
	Refugees/war victims	2	0
	Undergraduates	0	2
	Disaster survivors	1	0
	Other	0	0
	Total	9	4
Learning	Veterans	5	2
-	Abused individuals	1	1
	Refugees/war victims	1	1
	Undergraduates	0	0
	Disaster survivors	0	1
	Other	0	1
	Total	7	6
Memory	Veterans	3	5
-	Abused individuals	2	2
	Refugees/war victims	0	3
	Undergraduates	0	0
	Disaster survivors	1	1
	Other	0	1
	Total	6	12
Executive Function	Veterans	4	3
	Abused individuals	1	1
	Refugees/war victims	1	1
	Undergraduates	1	1
	Disaster survivors	2	0
	Other	0	1
	Total	9	7
Visuospatial Function	Veterans	0	7
visuospatiai runction	Abused individuals	0	1
	Refugees/war victims	1	0
	Undergraduates	0	0
	Disaster survivors	0	1
	Other	0	0
	Total	1	9

hippocampal volume in women with a history of child abuse. They were divided into PTSD-and-abuse, abuseonly, and normal-control groups, with 17 subjects in each group. These subjects completed the Wechsler Memory Scale but did not demonstrate significant differences between groups with or without PTSD in immediate, delayed, and working memory.

Jenkins et al.⁴⁴ examined learning and memory in rape victims with (N=15) and without PTSD (N=16) relative to nontraumatized comparison subjects (N=16), 6 years after the initial trauma. They used CVLT and found that women with PTSD performed worse on number of words learned (p=0.02; d=-0.28, r=-0.13) and long-delay free recall (p<0.01; d=-0.24, r=-0.12) after accounting for depression and alcohol use. Although the effect size of these results is weak, the authors suggested that memory impairment was associated with PTSD diagnosis.

In another study, Jenkins et al.⁴⁵ examined attentional dysfunction in rape victims with (N=15) and without PTSD (N=16) relative to nontraumatized comparison subjects (N=16), using a wide range of neuropsychological tests. Subjects with PTSD performed significantly worse on all measures, including Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (p=0.001; d=-1.79, r=-0.66), Continuous Performance Test sequential letter (p=0.01; d=-1.06, r=-0.47), DS total (p=0.004; d=-1.19, r=-0.50), Digit Symbol (p=0.001; d=-1.58, r=-0.57), and the Trails B (p=0.001; d=1.38, r=0.56). These results had strong effect size, even after accounting for depression. The authors concluded that measures of sustained and divided attention are associated with PTSD.

Bremner et al.⁴⁶ focused on verbal and visual memory in premenopausal women who were sexually abused as children. They compared results in abused women with PTSD (N=18), abused women without PTSD (N=10) and women without abuse and with PTSD (N=15). On the Logical Memory Subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale, abused women with PTSD had lower scores in verbal memory than abused women without PTSD (p=0.002; d=-0.53, r=-0.26), with medium effect size. This deficit remained after controlling for depression and correlated with severity of PTSD and sexual abuse. Authors concluded that PTSD associated with childhood abuse is related to deficits in verbal declarative memory.

The overall result from this group of studies was conflicting, with three positive and two negative stud-

ies. No single cognitive domain was found to be consistently affected by PTSD (Table 3).

Studies of Refugees and War Victims

The third largest group of studies evaluated in this review was of refugees and war victims, with three studies that examined neuropsychological profiles of subjects with trauma and PTSD. The average/mean age in these studies is between 37 and 78 years, and the approximate time since trauma is calculated to be between 4 and 60 years. All studies in this group found some type of cognitive impairment associated with PTSD.

Kivling-Bodén et al.47 studied cognitive functioning in traumatized refugees with (N=21) and without PTSD (N=13) from the former Yugoslavia. They found that participants with PTSD scored significantly lower on some measures of attention, such as Picture Arrangement (p=0.01; d=-0.93, r=-0.42); on measures of executive function, such as Figure Classification (p=0.03; d=-0.83, r=-0.39); and on measures of visuospatial function, such as Block Design (p=0.01;d = -1.08, r = -0.47). These measures had strong effect size; but other measures failed to show any significant differences, especially in memory, such as the Benton Visual Retention Test (p=0.15) and the Thurstone Memory Test (p=0.14). The authors reported that subjects with PTSD had significantly impaired cognitive performance.

Yehuda et al.⁴⁸ examined learning and memory by administering the CVLT in Holocaust survivors with (N=36) or without PTSD (N=26) relative to comparison subjects (N=40). The PTSD group was found to have impaired learning, with a medium effect size, as these individuals performed significantly worse than the individuals without PTSD on CVLT trials 1–5 (p<0.05; d=-0.61, r=-0.29). However, the difference in longdelay free recall between these groups was not significant. Authors attributed impairment in verbal learning to PTSD because of accelerated cognitive decline in this older population.

Johnsen et al.⁴⁹ analyzed memory impairments in refugees from Yugoslavia, Chile, and the Middle East, both with (N=21) and without PTSD (N=21). On measures of CVLT, learning and delayed recall were impaired in the PTSD group (p=0.004 and 0.002, respectively); but after controlling for learning, they found that the group difference disappeared on all recall measures. Interestingly, when depression was controlled, all the group differences on CVLT measures disappeared disappeares disappeares

peared. Among other neuropsychological tests, only DS Backward was impaired in the PTSD group with strong effect size (p=0.007; d=-1.08), whereas DS Forward and Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test score results were not significant. The authors concluded that depression plays an important role in causing memory impairment in PTSD.

Although all studies in this group were positive, memory impairment was not present after controlling for depression and learning; however, there was evidence of attentional impairment in this population (Table 3).

Studies of Undergraduates

There were only two studies of undergraduate volunteers with PTSD, and these have conflicting results. The age range of subjects is from 19 to 22 years, with no specific duration of PTSD symptoms.

Twamley et al.⁵⁰ compared students with PTSD (N=38) versus students with trauma and without PTSD (N=105) and without trauma (N=87). They did not find significant differences in attention and executive function of participants with history of trauma, with or without PTSD. They suggested that college students with history of trauma can be resilient to its deleterious effects on cognition, irrespective of PTSD.

In another study, Leskin et al.⁵¹ compared students with PTSD (N=19) with volunteers without PTSD with high history of trauma (N=15) and low history of trauma (N=18). They did not find significant cognitive impairments on more conventional neuropsychological tests such as the Trail-Making and Wisconsin Card-Sorting Test. However, on the Attentional Network Task, although attention was seen to be normal, executive dysfunction was noted in individuals with PTSD. The authors concluded that executive dysfunction is probably related to PTSD and dopamine irregularities.

In general, sufficient data are lacking in this group of studies; and they do not show any significant trend in cognitive impairment (Table 3).

Studies of Survivors of Natural Disaster

There were two studies of survivors of natural disaster, and both were outside the United States. The age range of subjects is between 27 and 42 years, and time since disaster is between 9 months and 4 years.

In one of the few studies that tested cognitive function before and after trauma, Parslow et al. tested a large cohort of survivors of an extensive bush fire in Australia in 2003.⁵² They compared those with PTSD (N=38) with those with subthreshold PTSD (N=606) and no PTSD (N=955) after a mean duration of 9 months posttrauma. Significant impairment was found in attention on Digit Span Backward (p<0.05; d=-0.10, r=-0.05); Memory on CVLT (p<0.001; d=-0.12, r=-0.06), and executive function on the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (p<0.05; d=-0.08, r=-0.04); but the effect size was weak. This cognitive impairment was associated with PTSD symptoms of reexperiencing and arousal, and these can cause impairment in verbal memory. However, poorer performance on pre-trauma neurocognitive measures was more strongly associated with posttrauma PTSD symptoms.

Eren-Koçak et al.⁵³ examined survivors of a 1999 earthquake in Turkey and divided them into those with current (N=11), past (N=14) and no PTSD diagnoses (N=18). After excluding subjects with depression, the authors did not find significant differences (p>0.05) on measures of visuospatial function, learning, and memory. However, they did notice executive dysfunction on Color Trail-Making Part 1 (p=0.024; d=0.96, r=0.41) and 2 (p=0.10; d=1.09, r=0.47), and impaired Verbal Fluency in animal names (p=0.012; d=-0.93, r=-0.42), with strong effect size. They suggest that deficits in prefrontal organization are present, especially in individuals with current PTSD.

Although sufficient data are lacking, there is some evidence of executive dysfunction in this group (Table 3).

Other Studies

In another study, Lindaeur et al.⁵⁴ examined cognition in relation to hippocampal volume in a group of police officers with (N=12) or without PTSD (N=12), who had experienced trauma. The authors did not find significant impairment in attention, learning, and memory (ps>0.05) in officers with PTSD. They suggested that memory impairment in PTSD is not related to hippocampal size.

DISCUSSION

In this review, we included results from studies that compared cognitive impairment in individuals with chronic PTSD and individuals with history of trauma. Most studies were of veterans, and most studies found significant cognitive deficits. Strong evidence, especially in studies of veterans, refugees, and war victims, suggests that individuals with PTSD have poorer atten-

tion capability than individuals with a history of exposure to trauma but no PTSD. However, data regarding learning and executive dysfunction are conflicting, especially in non-veteran populations. Memory is the most commonly examined cognitive domain. It was examined in 18 studies in this review, but results have not been consistent regarding an association with PTSD, after controlling for confounding factors. Evidence for visuospatial impairment is negative. Cognitive impairment is positively correlated with severity of PTSD. Studies of abused individuals show conflicting results in most cognitive domains.

Most studies of veterans and refugees/war victims with PTSD that examined attention found it to be significantly impaired (seven studies). Interestingly, both groups consisted mostly of men, with PTSD associated with war-related trauma. Almost all these studies excluded subjects with major head trauma, but the definition of head trauma was not well defined. Many also controlled for depression and substance abuse. There were only 4 negative studies of 13 on attention. Data on other groups are lacking. These results were not surprising, as problems in the frontal lobes and limbic system have been suggested in PTSD that can cause impaired attention.³⁶ For instance, abnormalities of the prefrontal cortex and heightened amygdala responses have already been demonstrated in these individuals.²⁴⁻²⁶

Overall data on learning show conflicting results, with seven positive and six negative studies. The only exception is in the case of veterans, for whom, as with attention, most studies found learning impairment with PTSD. Not all these studies controlled for attention when examining learning. Data on other groups are lacking. Interestingly, of three studies that looked into learning in elderly individuals with PTSD, two found it to be significantly impaired.^{38,48} The authors suggested that learning is affected more in elderly individuals with PTSD than in younger individuals. Prefrontal changes, reduction in hippocampus, and increased glucocorticoid levels can all be implicated as causes of impaired learning in individuals with PTSD.^{20,21,26,55}

Executive function is the second most common cognitive domain examined after memory, but the results are conflicting. Of 16 studies that looked for executive dysfunction in individuals with PTSD, only 9 were positive. There seems to be some evidence of executive impairment in survivors of natural disaster, but more studies need to confirm this finding.

Memory was examined in 18 studies, and findings in 12 of these were negative. Researchers did not find significant memory impairment in PTSD subjects when they compared them with a control group with history of trauma and after controlling for depression, learning impairment, and substance abuse. Although most subjects were young adults, two of three studies of elderly subjects were also negative after controlling for confounding factors.41,48 Unlike studies of attention and learning, most negative studies were of veterans and refugee/war victims. In other groups the results were conflicting. It seems that memory problems associated with PTSD are likely related to deficits in attention and learning. Trauma, depression, and substance abuse also play a major role; but association only with PTSD is lacking in this review.

Visuospatial impairment was not found to be associated with PTSD in 9 of 10 studies that examined this aspect. Most studies were of veterans, and all were negative.

A total of 12 studies looked into the effects of severity of PTSD symptoms on cognitive impairment; 9 found some type of correlation with severity of these symptoms and cognitive impairment.

We calculated the effect sizes of the significant associations observed in these studies. Most associations had a medium-to-strong effect size, whereas a weak effect size was observed in a few non-veteran studies. It appears that the effect sizes were not related to a particular cognitive domain but may be related to the initial insult (war trauma being stronger than other traumatic events).

This review has several limitations. We included only articles published in English. Our biggest limitation is the heterogeneity of this research in study design, method, analysis, and interpretation of results. This heterogeneity made it impossible to combine results (i.e., in a meta-analysis) in any meaningful way. Although not all studies accounted for depression or substance abuse, we included results after these confounding factors were controlled for whenever available. Also, there is significant overlap between neuropsychological tests and cognitive domains they examine. Different studies used the same test for one or more than one cognitive domain. Therefore, we cannot be very specific with our results and their implications. We did not divide cognitive domains into their subtypes (e.g., memory was not divided into explicit, implicit, verbal or nonverbal memory). Most subjects in these studies were adults, and their results cannot be generalized to children or elderly subjects, who are more vulnerable to cognitive impairment. We included only studies that had a comparison group exposed to trauma, and that could have had a major effect on our results. We expect a much stronger association of cognitive impairment with PTSD in comparison with a non-traumatized group and without accounting for confounding factors like depression and substance use. Unfortunately, duration of PTSD was not usually considered in most of these studies. Many did not account for medication use or severity of traumatic brain injury that can affect cognition. Because low intelligence and low premorbid cognitive functioning have been suggested to increase vulnerability to PTSD,^{13–17} they can have confounding effects on the association between PTSD and cognitive impairment as well.

CONCLUSION

Posttraumatic stress disorder is associated with cognitive impairment, particularly in veterans when compared with individuals with history of trauma. Severity of PTSD symptoms is positively correlated with this impairment. More longitudinal follow-ups are needed in the elderly population to examine the effects of duration of PTSD on cognition, after controlling for confounding factors like trauma, mood disorders, substance abuse, medication use and traumatic brain injury.

This material is based on work supported in part by the Houston Center for Quality of Care & Utilization Studies, Health Services Research and Development Service, Office of Research and Development, Department of Veterans Affairs (HFP90-020). The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the VA or Baylor College of Medicine.

References

- 1. Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, et al: Posttraumatic stress disorder in the national comorbidity survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995; 52:1048–1060
- 2. Norris FH: Epidemiology of trauma: frequency and impact of different potentially traumatic events on different demographic groups. J Consult Clin Psychol 1992; 60:409–418
- 3. Resnick HS, Kilpatrick DG, Dansky BS, et al: Prevalence of civilian trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in a representative national sample of women. J Consult Clin Psychol 1993; 61:984–991
- 4. American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 1994
- 5. Kaplan HI, Sadock BJ, Grebb JA: Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences, Clinical Psychiatry, 7th ed. Baltimore, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1994
- 6. Hidalgo RB, Davidson JRTL: PTSD: epidemiology and healthrelated considerations. J Clin Psychiatry 2000; 61:5–13
- Franklin CL, Zimmerman M: Posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder: investigating the role of overlapping symptoms in diagnostic comorbidity. J Nerv Ment Dis 2001; 189:548–551
- 8. Goodman LA, Salyers MP, Mueser KT, et al: Recent victimization in women and men with severe mental illness: prevalence and correlates. J Trauma Stress 2001; 14:615–632
- Jacobsen LK, Southwick SM, Kosten TR: Substance use disorders in patients with PTSD: a review of the literature. Am J Psychiatry 2001; 158:1184–1190
- 10. Elhai JD, Grubaugh AL, Kashdan TB, et al: Empirical examination of a proposed refinement to DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder symptom criteria using the national comorbidity survey replication data. J Clin Psychiatry 2008; 69:97–602
- 11. Gold PB, Engdahl BE, Eberly RE, et al: Trauma exposure,

resilience, social support, and ptsd construct validity among former prisoners of war. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2000; 35:36–42

- McNally RJ: Cognitive abnormalities in post-traumatic stress disorder. Trends Cogn Sci 2006; 10:271–277
- Kremen WS, Koenen KC, Boake C, et al: Pretrauma cognitive ability and risk for posttraumatic stress disorder: a twin study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2007; 64:361–368
- 14. Gale CR, Deary IJ, Boyle SH, et al: Cognitive ability in early adulthood and risk of 5 specific psychiatric disorders in middle age: the Vietnam experience study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008; 65:1410–148
- Gilbertson MW, Paulus LA, Williston SK, et al: Neurocognitive function in monozygotic twins discordant for combat exposure: relationship to posttraumatic stress disorder. J Abnorm Psychol 2006; 115:484–495
- 16. Schmand B, Smit JH, Geerlings MI, et al: The effects of intelligence and education on the development of dementia: a test of the brain reserve hypothesis Psychol Med 1997; 27:1337– 1344
- 17. Whalley LJ, Starr JM, Athawes R, et al: Childhood mental ability and dementia. Neurology 2000; 55:1428–149
- Iversen AC, Fear NT, Ehlers A, et al: Risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder among UK Armed Forces personnel. Psychol Med. 2008; 38:511–522
- Stern Y, Gurland B, Tatemichi TK, et al: Influence of education and occupation on the incidence of Alzheimer's disease. JAMA 1994; 271:1004–1010
- Bremner JD, Randall P, Scott TM, et al: MRI-based measurement of hippocampal volume in patients with combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1995; 152:973– 981
- 21. Gilbertson MW, Shenton ME, Ciszewski A, et al: Smaller hip-

pocampal volume predicts pathologic vulnerability to psychological trauma. Nat Neurosci 2002; 5:1242–127

- 22. Ball MJ: Neuronal loss, neurofibrillary tangles, and granulovacuolar degeneration in the hippocampus with ageing and dementia: a quantitative study. Acta Neuropathol 1977; 37: 111–118
- 23. Small SA, Perera GM, DeLaPaz R, et al: Differential regional dysfunction of the hippocampal formation among elderly with memory decline and Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 1999; 45:466–472
- 24. Zubieta JK, Chinitz JA, Lombardi U, et al: Medial frontal cortex involvement in PTSD symptoms: a SPECT study. J Psychiatr Res 1999; 33:259–264
- 25. Weber DL, Clark CR, McFarlane AC, et al: Abnormal frontal and parietal activity during working memory updating in post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Res 2005; 140:27–44
- 26. Shin LM, Wright CI, Cannistraro PA et al: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex responses to overtly presented fearful faces in posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62:273–281
- 27. Frackowiak RS, Pozzilli C, Legg NJ, et al: Regional cerebral oxygen supply and utilization in dementia: a clinical and physiological study with oxygen-15 and positron tomography. Brain 1981; 104:753–778
- Bremner JD, Randall P, Scott TM, et al: Deficits in short-term memory in adult survivors of childhood abuse. Psychiatry Res 1995; 59:97–107
- 29. Morgan CA, Doran A, Steffian G, et al: Stress-induced deficits in working memory and visuo-constructive abilities in special operations soldiers. Biol Psychiatry. 2006; 60:722–729
- Kraus MF, Susmaras T, Caughlin BP, et al: White matter integrity and cognition in chronic traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. Brain. 2007; 130:2508–2519
- 31. Uryu K, Laurer H, McIntosh T, Praticò D, et al: Repetitive mild brain trauma accelerates abeta deposition, lipid peroxidation, and cognitive impairment in a transgenic mouse model of alzheimer amyloidosis. J Neurosci 2002; 22:446–454
- 32. Jackson JC, Obremskey W, Bauer R, et al: Long-term cognitive, emotional, and functional outcomes in trauma intensive care unit survivors without intracranial hemorrhage. J Trauma 2007; 62:80–88
- 33. David AS, Farrin L, Hull L, et al: Cognitive functioning and disturbances of mood in UK veterans of the Persian Gulf War: a comparative study. Psychol Med 2002; 32:1357–1370
- 34. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al: Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Control Clin Trials 1996; 17:1–12
- 35. Barrett DH, Green ML, Morris R, et al: Cognitive functioning and posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1996; 153: 1492–1494
- Vasterling JJ, Brailey K, Constans JI, et al: Attention and memory dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychology 1998; 12:125–133
- Vasterling JJ, Duke LM, Brailey K, et al: Attention, learning, and memory performances and intellectual resources in Vietnam veterans: PTSD and no disorder comparisons. Neuropsychology 2002; 16:5–14
- 38. Yehuda R, Golier JA, Tischler L, et al: Learning and memory

in aging combat veterans with PTSD. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2005; 27:504–515

- 39. Koso M, Hansen S: Executive function and memory in posttraumatic stress disorder: a study of Bosnian war veterans. Eur Psychiatry 2006; 21:167–173
- Samuelson KW, Neylan TC, Metzler TJ, et al: Neuropsychological functioning in posttraumatic stress disorder and alcohol abuse. Neuropsychology 2006; 20:716–726
- Hart J Jr, Kimbrell T, Fauver P, et al: Cognitive dysfunctions associated with PTSD: evidence from world War II prisoners of war. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2008; 20:309–316
- 42. Stein MB, Kennedy CM, Twamley EW: Neuropsychological function in female victims of intimate partner violence with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 52:1079–1088
- 43. Pederson CL, Maurer SH, Kaminski PL, et al: Hippocampal volume and memory performance in a community-based sample of women with posttraumatic stress disorder second-ary to child abuse. J Trauma Stress 2004; 17:37–40
- 44. Jenkins MA, Langlais PJ, Delis D, et al: Learning and memory in rape victims with posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1998; 155:278–279
- 45. Jenkins MA, Langlais PJ, Delis DA: Attentional dysfunction associated with posttraumatic stress disorder among rape survivors. Clin Neuropsychol 2000; 14:7–12
- 46. Bremner JD, Vermetten E, Afzal N, et al: Deficits in verbal declarative memory function in women with childhood sexual abuse-related posttraumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis 2004; 192:643–649
- 47. Kivling-Bodén G, Sundbom E: Cognitive abilities related to post-traumatic symptoms among refugees from the former Yugoslavia in psychiatric treatment. Nord J Psychiatry 2003; 57:191–198
- Yehuda R, Golier JA, Halligan SL, et al: Learning and memory in holocaust survivors with posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2004; 55:291–295
- Johnsen GE, Kanagaratnam P, Asbjørnsen AE: Memory impairments in posttraumatic stress disorder are related to depression. J Anxiety Disord 2008; 22:464–474
- Twamley EW, Hami S, Stein MB: Neuropsychological function in college students with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Res 2004; 30:126:265–274
- 51. Leskin LP, White PM: Attentional networks reveal executive function deficits in posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychology 2007; 21:275–284
- 52. Parslow RA, Jorm AF: Pretrauma and posttrauma neurocognitive functioning and PTSD symptoms in a community sample of young adults. Am J Psychiatry 2007; 164:509–515
- 53. Eren-Koçak E, Kiliç C, Aydin I, et al: Memory and prefrontal functions in earthquake survivors: Differences between current and past post-traumatic stress disorder patients. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2009; 119:35–44
- 54. Lindauer RJ, Olff M, van Meijel EP, et al: Cortisol, learning, memory, and attention in relation to smaller hippocampal volume in police officers with posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 59:171–177
- Sapolsky RM: Glucocorticoids and hippocampal atrophy in neuropsychiatric disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000; 57:925–935