Case Sexual Abuse Control Severe Moderate Physical Abuse Case Low Control None Emotional Case Abuse Control Emotional Neglect Case Control Physical Neglect Case Control 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% % responding in each category of Childhood Trauma Questionnaire

Supplementary Figure S1. Results from the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire categorized in to severe, moderate, low and none in cases with functional limb weakness (n=94) and controls (n=75)

Supplementary Table S1. Childhood experience of abuse and neglect: Median values from childhood trauma questionnaire data.

Although medians are identically low, the significant results can be explained by the distribution of the results at the upper end of the scale (see Table 2 in main article.

	limb weal	Functional limb weakness (n=107)		rological healthy rols 5)	Significance (Mann- Whitney test)				
Childhood Trauma Question	naire								
(median scores out of 25 (IQR). Five is lowest possible)*									
Sexual Abuse	5	(5-5)	5	(5-5)	P=0.003				
Physical Abuse	5	(5-7.2)	5	(5-5)	P=0.009				
Emotional Abuse	5	(5-10)	5	(5-7.5)	p=0.50				
Emotional Neglect	7	(5-11)	7	(5-11)	P=0.89				
Physical Neglect	5	(5-7.2)	5	(5-5)	P=0.01				

First author	This study	Binzer{2}	Kranick{5}	O'Connell{4}	Ludwig- meta analysis{18}
Setting	Neurology	Neurology	Neurology	Psychiatry	Various
Number of functional motor	107	30	64	322**	1405 – All FND (mostly
disorder cases					seizures)
Duration	9 months	Acute	6 years	14 years	
Number and nature of controls	75* - neuro/ healthy	Healthy	78 – neuro/healthy	644 - psychiatric	1802 – various
Mean age (yrs.) – cases vs controls	38 vs 38	39 vs 34	45 vs 49 vs 49	46 vs 48	N/A
% female	74%	60%	72%	74%	N/A
Interview / Dichotomous data					
Child sexual abuse	13% vs 1%	3% vs 0%	N/A	20% vs 22%,	24% vs 10%,
				OR 0.9 (0.6-1.3)	
Child physical abuse	11% vs 4%	N/A	N/A	23% vs 22%,	30% vs 12%
				OR 1.0 (0.7-1.5)	
Adult sexual abuse	19% vs 6%	NT / A	N7 / A	27% vs 21%,	OR 2.8 (2.0-13.9)
Adult Physical Abuse		N/A	N/A	OR 1.4 (1.0-2.0)	OR 2.9 (1.6-5.4)
Questionnaire / All Data	Childhood Trauma Qu	Odds Ratios (95%			
					Confidence Interval)
Childhood Sexual Abuse	5 vs 5 – 0.005	N/A	6.7 vs 6.0 vs 6.0- 0.4	N/A	3.3 (2.4-4.8)
Childhood Physical Abuse	5 vs 5 - <0.001	N/A	7.7 vs 6.4 vs 6.1 - 0.09	N/A	3.9 (2.2-7.2)
Childhood Emotional Neglect	7 vs 7 – NS	N/A	7.5 vs 6.4 vs 8.1 – 0.1	N/A	5.6 (2.4-13.1)
Childhood Emotional Abuse	5 vs 5 – NS	N/A	10.4 vs 6.9 vs 7.5 - <0.0001	N/A	N/A
Childhood Physical Neglect	5 vs 5 – 0.05	N/A	11.8 vs 8.2 vs 6.6 -<0.0001	N/A	N/A

Supplementary Table S2. The data from this study in context with other larger studies in functional motor disorders and a systematic review of all functional neurological disorders {18}

*n=85 for interview data; ** variety of functional motor disorders, 50% weakness